

CURRICULUM ON MEDICAL CERTIFICATION OF CAUSE OF DEATH

FOR PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES



















CURRICULUM ON MEDICAL CERTIFICATION OF CAUSE OF DEATH

FOR PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES

Pacific Community, Queensland University of Technology, Australian Bureau of Statistics, the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Fiji National University, Vital Strategies and World Health Organization



Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia 2021 © Pacific Community (SPC), Queensland University of Technology, Australian Bureau of Statistics, the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Fiji National University, Vital Strategies and World Health Organization 2021.

All rights for commercial/for profit reproduction or translation, in any form, reserved. SPC and Brisbane Accord Group (BAG) authorise the partial reproduction or translation of this material for scientific, educational or research purposes, provided that SPC and BAG and the source document are properly acknowledged. Permission to reproduce the document and/or translate in whole, in any form, whether for commercial/for profit or non-profit purposes, must be requested in writing. Original SPC artwork may not be altered or separately published without permission.

Original text: English

Pacific Community Cataloguing-in-publication data

Curriculum on medical certification of cause of death for Pacific Island countries and territories / Pacific Community, Queensland University of Technology, Australian Bureau of Statistics, the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Fiji National University, Vital Strategies and World Health Organization

- 1. Death Causes Oceania.
- 2. Death Study and teaching Oceania.
- 3. Death Causes Case studies Oceania.
- 4. Death Proof and certification Study and teaching Oceania.
- 5. Death certificates Study and teaching Oceania.
- 6. Death certificates Oceania Statistics.
- 7. Mortality Study and teaching Oceania Statistics.

I. Title II. Pacific Community III. Queensland University of Technology IV. Australian Bureau of Statistics V. New Zealand. Ministry of Health VI. Fiji National University VII. Vital Strategies VII. World Health Organization

378.010995 AACR2

ISBN: 978-982-00-1386-5

This curriculum is jointly produced by partners of the Brisbane Accord Group:

Pacific Community, Queensland University of Technology, Australian Bureau of Statistics, the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Fiji National University, Vital Strategies and World Health Organization

Design and layout: Gaëlle Le Gall Queguineur

Prepared for publication at SPC's Headquarters, B.P. D5, 98848 Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia, 2021 www.spc.int | spc@spc.int

Contents

Acronyms	iv
List of figures	V
Acknowledgements	Vi
About this curriculum	1
Country Medical Certificate of Cause of Death	1
Learning areas and objectives	1
Teaching and learning methods	2
Student evaluation	
Course duration	
Learning areas	3
1. Concepts and definitions in cause of death certification	3
2. Importance of underlying cause of death	4
3. International form of Medical Certificate of Cause of Death	6
4. Legal and ethical issues relevant to the individual countries	8
5. General instructions on completing a Medical Certificate of Cause of Death	9
6. Guidelines for recording cause of death for specific conditions	15
7. Common errors in cause of death certification	
8. Understanding local mortality statistics	
References	
Annex	27

Acronyms

AIDS acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

BAG Brisbane Accord Group

CSF cerebral spinal fluid

COD cause of death

HIV human immunodeficiency virus

ICD International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems

MCCD Medical Certificate of Cause of Death

SPC Pacific Community

UCOD underlying cause of death

List of figures

Figure 1: Frame A of the international form of Medical Certificate of Cause of Death (WHO, 2016)	
Figure 2: Case scenario 1: Sequence of events leading to death	10
Figure 3: Case scenario 2: Sequence of events leading to death	10
Figure 4: Case scenario 3: Sequence of events leading to death	11
Figure 5: Case scenario 4: Sequence of events leading to death	
Figure 6: Case scenario 5: Sequence of events leading to death	12
Figure 7: Case scenario 6: Sequence of events leading to death	13
Figure 8: Case scenario 7: Sequence of events leading to death	13
Figure 9: Case scenario 8: Sequence of events leading to death	
Figure 10: Case scenario 9: Sequence of events leading to death	
Figure 11: Case scenario 10: Sequence of events leading to death	16
Figure 12: Case scenario 11: Sequence of events leading to death	17
Figure 13: Case scenario 12: Sequence of events leading to death	
Figure 14: Case scenario 13: Sequence of events leading to death	
Figure 15: Case scenario 14: Sequence of events leading to death	19
Figure 16: Examples of underlying causes of death that could lead to cardiac/respiratory failure	19
Figure 17: Examples of underlying causes of death that could lead to sepsis	19
Figure 18: MCCD with multiple causes documented on the same line	21
Figure 19: Use of abbreviations in documenting causes of death in a MCCD	22
Figure 20: MCCD with blank lines within the sequence of events	22
Figure 21: MCCD with a clinically improbable chain/sequence of events	23
Figure 22: MCCD with illegible handwriting of the certifying doctor	
Figure 23: MCCD where time intervals are not documented	2/

Acknowledgements

This curriculum was prepared by Dr. Nandalal Wijesekera, MBBS, MSc, MD – Head, Department of Public Health, WHO Collaborating Centre for Public Health Workforce Development, National Institute of Health Sciences, Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka.

Several individuals contributed to its review:

Sue Walker (QUT)

Lauren Moran (ABS)

James Eynstone-Hinkins (ABS)

Christine Fowler (NZ-MOH)

Petra Nahmias (ESCAP)

Gloria Mathenge (SPC)

Romain Santon (Vital Strategies)

Fatima Marinho (Vital Strategies)

Donald Wilson (FNU)

Funding for this project was provided by the Bloomberg Philanthropies Data for Health Initiative (www.bloomberg.org). The views expressed are not necessarily those of the Philanthropies.

Material in this publication may be freely quoted or reprinted. Acknowledgement is requested, together with a copy of the publication.

Suggested citation: Pacific Community, Queensland University of Technology, Australian Bureau of Statistics, the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Fiji National University, Vital Strategies and World Health Organization (2021). Curriculum on medical certification of cause of death for Pacific Island countries and territories. Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia, SPC.

About this curriculum

This curriculum provides guidance on medical certification of cause of death and aims to establish mechanisms for its inclusion within a formal academic training programme in the Pacific region.

It is designed to be delivered as a module with eight learning areas and 13 hours of student contact time. Each learning area includes an assessment to evaluate the students. Regional academic training programmes are authorised to customise the learning areas in order to meet the needs of their students and to deliver the curriculum over a shorter or longer duration of time, as needed.

Country Medical Certificate of Cause of Death

This curriculum has been developed under the assumption that the international form of Medical Certificate of Cause of Death (MCCD) (or a similar version of the standard certificate, including Part 1, Part 2, and a column for the reporting time interval) is in use in the country where this curriculum will be taught. For further reference, Annex 1 provides the most up-to-date MCCD recommended by the World Health Organization.

Learning areas and objectives

This curriculum consists of eight learning areas, which can be selected individually and/or tailored to meet the needs and objectives of the regional academic training programmes that are introducing this module into their respective curricula.

Learning areas		Learning objectives		
			n completion of the module, students should be able to perform essfully each of the tasks below.	
1.	Concepts and definitions in cause of death certification	1.	Understand important concepts and definitions in the certification of death	
2.	Importance of underlying cause of death	2.	Identify the uses of data on underlying causes of death	
3.	International form of MCCD	3.	Understand the international form of MCCD	
4.	Legal and ethical issues relevant to the individual countries	4.	Discuss the legal and ethical responsibilities of doctors in certifying deaths	
5.	General instructions for completing a MCCD	5.	Certify deaths correctly	
6.	Guidelines for recording cause of death for specific conditions	6.	Apply the guidelines related to specific underlying causes of death correctly	
7.	Common errors in cause of death certification	7.	Identify the errors committed by attending doctors during cause of death certification	
8.	Understanding local mortality statistics	8.	Describe the processes for certifying deaths and the challenges in improving cause of death statistics in their respective country	

Teaching and learning methods

- Interactive lecture discussions with question-and-answer sessions (held during the theory component of the guidelines)
- Small group discussions
- Independent student work:
 - review guidelines on certifying deaths, using case scenarios.
 - practice cause of death certification, using case scenarios.
- Identification of errors committed by attending doctors during cause of death certification, using a sample of country MCCD

Student evaluation

This curriculum contains student assessments for each learning area of the training. Regional academic training programmes may choose to use all of the assessments provided or to select relevant assessments, based on their country requirements.

Course duration

The course duration consists of 13 hours of student contact time and assessments.

	Learning area	Time* (hours)	Teaching methodology
1.	Concepts and definitions in cause of death certification	0.5	Interactive presentation
2.	Importance of underlying cause of death	0.5	Interactive presentation
3.	International form of MCCD	1.0	Interactive presentation, comparing country-specific MCCD
4.	Legal and ethical issues relevant to the individual countries	0.5	Interactive presentation, oral questioning on the country situation with respect to legal and ethical issues
5.	General instructions on completing a MCCD	4.5	Interactive presentation using MCCD workbooks and answer books
6.	Guidelines for recording cause of death for specific conditions	3.0	Interactive presentation, independent student work on case scenarios in MCCD workbooks and answer books
7.	Common errors in cause of death certification	1.5	Interactive presentation, group work using a sample of country MCCD with common errors during COD certification
8.	Understanding local mortality statistics	1.5	Group work and student presentations on their respective country situations on COD certification
	Total time in hours	13	

^{*}The time durations can be adjusted to the specific curriculum requirements of each regional academic training programme. The time durations listed above should be used as a guide only.

Learning areas

1. Concepts and definitions in cause of death certification

Objective 1: Explain the key concepts and definitions in cause of death certification

Brief introduction to describe the three main concepts:

1. Cause of death (COD)

2. Underlying cause of death (UCOD)

3. Sequence/chain of events leading to death

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 30 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
Cause of death (COD)	Students understand the definition of COD as well as its importance	The cause(s) of death recorded in the <i>international form of MCCD</i> are: "all those diseases, morbid conditions or injuries which either resulted in or contributed to death and the circumstances of the accident or violence that produced any such injuries" (Twentieth World Health Assembly, 1967).
Underlying cause of death (UCOD)	Students understand the definition of UCOD as well as its importance	The underlying cause of death is: "the disease or injury which initiated the train of morbid events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (WHO, 1994).
Sequence/ Chain of events leading to death	Students understand the sequence/chain of events leading to death	Mortality statistics are based on the underlying cause of death (i.e.the disease or injury that initiated the sequence or chain of events that directly led to death). For example, in the case of a patient dying of a Gastrointestinal haemorrhage following Perforated duodenal ulcer, Gastrointestinal haemorrhage is the immediate COD while Perforated duodenal ulcer is the UCOD. The sequence of events started with the Perforated duodenal ulcer and led to a Gastrointestinal haemorrhage and to death.

Evaluation:

- 1. Students define the COD.
- 2. Students define the UCOD.
- 3. Students explain the sequence/chain of events leading to death.

2. Importance of underlying cause of death

Objectives: 1. Explain the need for high-quality COD statistics

2. Describe the purposes for which UCOD data are collected, and how they are used

This learning area explains the importance and use of COD certification. The facilitator should present this module as an interactive presentation, with time for students to ask questions. The COD statistics (based on the UCOD) provide information on what is causing premature death or contributing to reduced life expectancy in a country. The link between what individual doctors document on the MCCD, and national mortality statistics, should be highlighted in detail.

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 30 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
The need for high-quality COD statistics	Students understand the need for high-quality COD	Accurate and timely COD data are essential for monitoring trends and patterns in diseases, injuries and risk factors, and critically important to guide good public policy and for prevention. Medical certification of cause of death by physicians/doctors is the basis for mortality statistics in the majority of countries.
	statistics	It is usually assumed that hospitals accurately certify causes of death, although this is not always the case. The quality of hospital COD certification primarily depends on how accurately physicians/doctors understand the concept of the UCOD. Doctors almost always refer to the medical records to determine or confirm the underlying cause and chain of events that led to the death of a patient. Therefore, correctly documented medical records will greatly influence the accuracy of COD certification. It should be highlighted that the certifying physicians/doctors must play a major role in order to achieve this outcome.
		In addition to the deaths occurring in hospitals, a significant number of deaths occurs in the community — home deaths. It should be highlighted that every effort should be taken to certify these deaths accurately as well.
		It is common in hospitals for the intern medical officer or a junior doctor to be requested to complete the MCCD, although they may not have attended/treated the patient. Consequently, they may be the least knowledgeable to establish the COD and complete the MCCD. In such instances, the certifying doctor should seek relevant information from the doctor who attended/treated the deceased patient and may also seek help from senior colleagues or the consultant in charge of the unit.

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
Describe the purposes for which UCOD data are collected, and how they are used	Students understand the specific uses of UCOD data	 Statistical outputs: The accurate collection of COD data is an important step towards improved population-level health information. Countries rely heavily on hospitals and other health facilities for mortality statistics. Hospitals also benefit from improved mortality statistics as the statistics provide insight into the causes of deaths in their facility, enable them to study local case fatality rates, etc. Evidence for health and social policy: Mortality statistics are used by health planners to plan prevention programmes in order to help reduce/prevent future premature deaths due to similar causes. Evidence for resource allocation decisions: Mortality statistics are used by health administrators and policy planners to determine resource allocations for health and social sectors, units, etc. Progress towards national and global health development goals: Mortality statistics are used to measure progress towards established national and global health development/sustainable development goals. Research purposes: Mortality statistics are used in medical and public health research.

Evaluation:

- 1. Students explain the uses of UCOD data.
- 2. Students explain the ways in which accurate COD data helps improve the health situation in each student's respective country.

3. International form of Medical Certificate of Cause of Death

Objectives: 1. Introduce the international form of MCCD

2. Describe the structure of the international form of MCCD

The World Health Organization recommends the use of the international form of MCCD, as shown in Figure 1, for certification of deaths in all countries.




Figure 1: Frame A of the international form of Medical Certificate of Cause of Death (WHO, 2016)

The MCCD provides a framework for the organisation of clinical diagnoses used for public health purposes. There is extensive misclassification of the UCOD in deaths reported by hospitals globally. One reason is that few doctors have been trained in MCCD certification according to the current International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD)¹. Although training doctors in MCCD certification is not generally difficult, sustaining the correct certification practices over the longer term can be challenging, as there is a high turnover of junior doctors in all countries and regions necessitating continuous re-training with each new cohort of junior doctors. Medical consultants/senior doctors need to be influenced to support good certification practices to ensure that junior doctors appreciate the importance of accurate MCCD certification and help shift this trend.

UCOD data is vital in determining health policy and in ensuring appropriate resources are allocated to hospitals. It is, thus, important that medical professional organisations highlight this data in order to convince doctors of the need for improvement in reporting on UCOD.

Demographic and identification data in the local Medical Certificate of Cause of Death

For both legal and statistical purposes, correctly identifying the deceased is vital. It should be noted that, because these details vary by country, it is important for the implementing academic authority to review the current MCCD of the relevant country.

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 60 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, country-specific MCCD

For further information on the ICD, see: https://www.who.int/classifications/classification-of-diseases.

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
Demographic and identifi- cation data in the country- specific MCCD	Students are familiarised with the	Display a copy of the demographic and identification data in the current MCCD used in the target country. Discuss the importance of correctly completing all demographic and identification data items. In many countries, the MCCD is sent to the national statistics office (to facilitate the compilation of statistics) and to the civil registration organisation (for the legal registration of the event of death). Basic demographic information required by these offices generally includes: Full name Age/date of birth Date of death Place of death Place of residence
		 Race/ethnicity Documenting accurate demographic and identification data of the deceased is essential for both legal and statistical purposes. For example, documenting correct demographic data (e.g. correct age and sex) facilitates the production of accurate age- and sex-specific statistics. Stress the importance of the verification of the correct details of the deceased before documentation (e.g. correct legal name, spelling, age, date of birth and usual residence). Always refer to available identity documents.

Evaluation:

- 1. Students give examples of situations where it is common to make errors in recording the identification data for a deceased person.
- 2. Students explain the role of Part 1 of the MCCD.
- 3. Students explain the role of Part 2 of the MCCD.
- 4. Students explain why it is important to record the time interval between the onset of a condition and death in the MCCD.

4. Legal and ethical issues relevant to the individual countries

Objective 1: Familiarise students with the legal requirements and ethical responsibilities of doctors with respect to COD certification

The information presented in this section needs to be identified in relation to the current law of the country (e.g. who is qualified to certify a death, birth and death registration law, coronial acts, police ordinances, etc.). The students must understand that the COD laws are different for each country and that the content should be modified accordingly.

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 30 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
Legal requirements in COD certification	Students understand the local legal requirements in certifying a death	A MCCD is a legal document with implications that vary by country. Therefore, it is important that the MCCD be completed accurately. The MCCD may be needed to proceed with burial or cremation of the body. The family may need it to execute the deceased person's will. In countries with a coronial system in place, an attending doctor may be required to report unnatural deaths to the coronial system for inquest/post-mortem to determine the cause and circumstances of the death. The process of notification will differ by country, and attending doctors need to be aware of the correct process of reporting. In addition, the attending doctors must be aware of the differences in the types of circumstances that should be referred to a coroner across countries. The attending doctor or the hospital will be required to report details of the death to national authorities (e.g. the ministry of health, the registrar general's office or the national statistics office). In most countries, details of the death and the circumstances of the deceased person are stored in a database and used to produce country mortality statistics.
Ethical respon sibilities	Students understand the ethical responsibil- ities and confiden- tiality issues in the COD	The attending doctor is bound to maintain confidentiality in regard to the COD. This obligation is to the family of the deceased person. The attending doctors should know that the information in the MCCD can be used for research purposes but that the identity of the deceased should not be revealed. Furthermore, they should not divulge the details of a MCCD to a third party unless they are legally required to do so, or they have obtained prior consent from the next of kin of the deceased. The attending doctors must report the correct condition when certifying deaths due to sensitive causes (e.g. HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, etc.). They should not concede to pressure from the relatives of the deceased and should carefully explain to them the importance and legal requirements of documenting the correct condition to them.

Evaluation questions:

- 1. Name the circumstances under which COD information may be disclosed to a third party by an attending doctor.
- 2. Describe the process of certifying deaths from unnatural causes in each student's country.

5. General instructions on completing a Medical Certificate of Cause of Death

Objective 1: Describe the general instructions for completing a MCCD

It is important that medical certifiers/clinicians take note of these guidelines, as they will help mortality coders correctly identify and code the cause(s) of death. In most countries, mortality coders do not have a medical background. Therefore, even a minor misinterpretation may result in misunderstanding and selecting an incorrect UCOD. Instructions given in this section are compliant with the ICD-10 guidelines. Any local disparities in general instructions should also be taught in this section.

Lesson plan 1

Time allocation: 30 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, MCCD workbooks and answer books

Topic	Expected outcome	Content
General instructions on completing MCCD	Students should be able to follow general instructions in certifying deaths	 The following are general instructions on certification. The overall accuracy of mortality statistics is greatly enhanced by complying with these instructions. Complete each item in order (following any special instructions given in the country): All entries must be legible. Use black or blue ink to complete the certificate. Use block letters to complete the certificate. Do not make alterations or erasures. If any entry needs to be altered, draw a single line across it and the certifier must initial it next to the strikeout to confirm that it was the certifier who made that change. Use of correction fluid is not allowed. Accuracy of the name of the deceased (including the correct spelling of the legal name and place of usual residence) should be verified with the informant. Do not use abbreviations. Enter only one disease condition or event per line. There is one exception to this rule: When there are multiple causes in the sequence of events leading to death and not enough blank lines to record them, it may be acceptable to document multiple causes per line. However, in this case, the certifier should clearly show the sequence by writing 'due to' in between conditions documented on the same line.

Evaluation:

- 1. Students list common errors that are seen in MCCD.
- 2. Display samples of completed MCCDs for the participants and ask them to identify errors in certification of these MCCD.

Objective 2: Provide students with detailed instructions (including examples) on how to certify the cause of death on the WHO recommended international form of MCCD

It is highly suggested that students be given access to available resource materials on MCCD. The following examples demonstrate how to certify deaths accurately. MCCD student workbooks and answer books can be used to supplement the hands-on experience.

Lesson plan 2

Time allocation: 240 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, case scenarios in MCCD workbooks and answer books

Topic **Expected outcome** Content **MCCD** Students Refer to the following case scenarios to explain the sequence of events leading to death certification understand the Case scenario 1 guidelines sequence of A 53-year-old male was admitted to the hospital vomiting blood and was diagnosed with bleeding events leading to oesophageal varices. Investigations revealed portal hypertension. He had a history of Hepatitis B death, including infection. Figure 2 shows the sequence of events that led to his death. It is extremely important that the concept of the UCOD be correctly determined and accurately documented. In this case, Hepatitis B was the UCOD UCOD, immediate and not bleeding oesophageal varices, which is the immediate COD. This data, together with the cause of death, relevant demographic data, allows mortality rates from Hepatitis B to be calculated, and can inform and intermediate a public health response to implement immunisation programmes against Hepatitis B virus to reduce cause(s) of death deaths by this cause in the future. and contributory Bleeding oesophageal varices Immediate cause of death cause(s) of death Portal hypertension Intermediate cause 2 Cirrhosis of liver Intermediate cause 1 Hepatitis B Underlying cause of death Figure 2: Case scenario 1: Sequence of events leading to death Students Case scenario 2 understand the A 64-year-old man dies of cerebral haemorrhage following secondary hypertension. Previously, he was different case diagnosed with chronic pyelonephritis due to urinary outflow obstruction as a result of long-standing scenarios in benign hypertrophy of the prostate. He was also suffering from Type II Diabetes mellitus over the last 10 completing Part 1 years. of the MCCD Cerebral haemorrhage Immediate cause of death Secondary hypertension Intermediate cause 2 Chronic pyelonephritis Intermediate cause 1 Benign hypertrophy of Underlying cause of death prostate Diabetes mellitus (type II) Contributory cause Figure 3: Case scenario 2: Sequence of events leading to death Diabetes mellitus (type II) is not included in the sequence of events leading to death. However, it would have contributed to the death and, thus, is entered in Part 2 of the MCCD. Figure 3 shows the sequence of events and contributory condition that led to his death.

Expected outcome Content Topic **MCCD** It should be emphasised that, if the certifying doctor had additional information on this case, other Students understand the probable sequence/s could also be constructed. For example, if the certifying doctor knew about the certification guidelines different case severity of the outflow obstruction and the control of diabetes mellitus, another possible sequence (continued) would be chronic pyelonephritis caused by recurrent urinary infections due to poorly controlled scenarios in completing Part 1 diabetes mellitus. The sequence will always be the best medical opinion of the certifying doctor. of the MCCD Use the following example to illustrate a MCCD with only line 1(a) (the first line in Part 1) (continued) completed Case scenario 3 A 68-year-old woman dies from acute myocardial infarction within one hour of its onset. She did not have any other illnesses. Her ECG and cardiac enzyme levels confirmed the diagnosis. Although it is uncommon to have only one event leading to death, it can happen. In these cases, COD should be reported at line 1(a) and it would also become the UCOD, shown in Figure 4. Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1 and 2 Time interval Cause of death from onset to death 1. Report disease or condi-Acute myocardial infarction 1 hour tion directly leading to death on line a Report chain of events in due to order (if applicable) Due to: State the underlying cause Due to: on the lowest used line 2. Other significant conditions contributing to death (time intervals can be included in brackets after the condition) Figure 4: Case scenario 3: Sequence of events leading to death If more evidence is available on the sequence of events leading to death, these must be reported using the lines provided at 1(b), 1(c) and 1(d). Use the following example to illustrate the completion of a MCCD with a sequence of two events leading to death Case scenario 4 A 54-year-old male who had been taking medication for coronary arteriosclerosis regularly over the last five years was rushed to the emergency room with a history of severe tightening chest pain, sweating and dyspnea. He collapsed in the emergency department and later died. ECG findings confirmed an acute myocardial infarction. When there are two causes of death reported, these are written on lines 1(a) and 1(b), as shown in Figure 5. In this case, UCOD is recorded in line 1(b). Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1 and 2

Time interval Cause of death from onset to death 1. Report disease or condi-Minutes Acute myocardial infarction tion directly leading to death on line a b 5 years Due to: Coronary atherosclerosis Report chain of events in due to order (if applicable) С Due to: State the underlying cause Due to: on the lowest used line 2. Other significant conditions contributing to death (time intervals can be included in brackets after the condition)

Figure 5: Case scenario 4: Sequence of events leading to death

Expected outcome Topic **MCCD** Students understand the certification guidelines different case (continued) scenarios in completing Part 1 of the MCCD (continued)

The following example illustrates a case with a sequence of three events leading to death Case scenario 5

Content

Shortly after dinner on the day prior to admission to the hospital, a 48-year-old male developed a cramping, epigastric pain, which radiated to his back, followed by nausea and vomiting. The pain was not relieved by positional changes or antacids. The pain persisted and, 24 hours after its onset, the patient sought medical attention. He had a 10-year history of chronic alcoholism and a two-year history of frequent episodes of similar epigastric pain. The patient denied diarrhea, constipation, hematemesis, or melena. The patient was admitted to the hospital with a diagnosis of an acute exacerbation of chronic pancreatitis. Radiological findings included a duodenal ileus and pancreatic calcification. Serum amylase was very high at 4,032 units per liter. The day after admission, the patient seemed to improve. However, that evening he became disoriented, restless, and hypotensive. Despite intravenous fluids and vasopressors, the patient remained hypotensive and died. Autopsy findings revealed several areas of fibrosis in the pancreas with the remaining areas showing multiple foci of acute inflammation and necrosis.

Figure 6 shows a MCCD that requires three lines. These events are recorded at 1(a), 1(b) and 1(c). In this case, UCOD is recorded in the line 1(c).

Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1 and 2					
		▶ Cau	use of death	► Time interval from onset to death	
Report disease or condition directly leading to	0	а	Acute exacerbation of chronic pancreatitis	3 days	
death on line a		b	Due to: Chronic pancreatitis	2 years	
Report chain of events in due to order (if applicable)		С	Due to: ChronicaAlcoholism	10 years	
State the underlying cause on the lowest used line	Ĉ	d	Due to:		
Other significant conditions contrib- uting to death (time intervals can be included in brackets after the condition)					

Figure 6: Case scenario 5: Sequence of events leading to death

The following example illustrates a case with a sequence of four events leading to death Case scenario 6

A 36-year-old man had a previous history of duodenal ulcer for 3 years. He was admitted with symptoms of acute abdominal pain and high fever. The patient was diagnosed as having perforated duodenal ulcer and underwent emergency surgery. Five days later, the patient had high fever with chills, and his abdominal ultrasound revealed a sub-phrenic abscess. A revision exploratory laparotomy was planned. However, the patient suddenly showed signs of septic shock and died within two hours of septic shock.

This is an example of a MCCD that requires four lines. As shown in Figure 7, these events are recorded at 1(a), 1(b), 1 (c) and 1(d). The UCOD is reported in line 1(d).





Figure 7: Case scenario 6: Sequence of events leading to death

Note: In all cases, highlight that the UCOD should be reported in the lowest used line in Part 1 of Frame A.

Topic	Expected outcome	Content											
MCCD	Students	In rare situations, there cou	In rare situations, there could be a sequence of more than four event leading to death. In such										
certification guidelines (continued)	understand the different case scenarios in	a situation, multiple causes can be documented per line. However, the certifier should clearly demonstrate the sequence by writing 'due to' in between conditions documented on the same line. UCOD should not be recorded in Part 2 of the MCCD.											
	completing Part 1 of the MCCD (continued)	Part 2 of the MCCD is for recording all other significant/contributory diseases or conditions that were present at the time of death but that did not directly lead to the UCOD listed in Part 1. Case scenario 7 A 68-year-old male was admitted to the ICU with dyspnea and moderate retrosternal pain. He had a past history of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and ischeamic heart disease for eight years. Non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, which is not in the sequence of events leading to death but											
		· ·			red in Part 2 of the MCCD, as shown in F	-							
		Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1	and 2										
				▶ Cau	se of death	Time interval from onset to death							
		1. Report disease or condition directly leading to	Ĉ	а	Acute pulmonary embolism	1 hour							
		death on line a Report chain of events in		b	Due to: Acute myocardial infarction	7 days							
		due to order (if applicable) State the underlying cause		С	Due to: Chronic ischeamic heart disease	8 years							
		on the lowest used line	Ĉ	d 	Due to:								
		2. Other significant conditions contrib- uting to death (time intervals can be included in brackets after the condition)											
		Figure 8: Case scenario 7: Sequence of events leading to death											
	Students learn	Introduce and explain the importance of the column to record the approximate int											
	to document	between the onset of the condition and the time of death											
	approximate interval	Approximate time interval between onset and death											
	interval	The column on the righthand side of Frame A of the MCCD is for recording the approximate time interval between the onset of the condition and the date of death of a person. The time interval should be entered for all conditions reported on the MCCD. Documenting the time interval, especially for the conditions reported in Part 1 is very important. These intervals are usually established by the attending physician on the basis of available information. The time interval may need to be estimated in some instances. Time periods (minutes, hours, days, weeks, months or years) can be used. If the time of onset is unknown or cannot be determined, indicate 'unknown' in the appropriate space. Emphasise to the students not to leave this column blank. This information is invaluable for coding certain diseases and provides a verification on the accuracy of the reported sequence of conditions. Case scenario 8											
		A 50-year-old male was admitted to the hospital with severe anorexia, extreme pallor and generalised oedema. He had been diagnosed as having focal glomerular sclerosis 2 years ago and insulin dependent diabetes mellitus for the last 38 years with very poor control. In addition, this patient was a heavy cigarette smoker for the past 20 years. On further assessment at the hospital, a diagnosis of end-stage renal failure was made and the patient died one week following admission to the hospital. The deceased MCCD is shown in Figure 9 with properly documented time intervals.											



Evaluation:

- 1. Small group work: Students collectively complete the blank MCCD provided in the MCCD answer books according to the case scenarios provided in the MCCD workbooks.
- 2. Individual work: Students individually complete the blank MCCD provided in the MCCD answer books according to the case scenarios provided in the MCCD workbooks.

6. Guidelines for recording cause of death for specific conditions

Objective 1: Provide students with guidelines to document specific conditions in the MCCD

Attending physicians/doctors need to provide a description of disease conditions that is as complete as possible in order to help the classification and coding process for each MCCD. Examples of common conditions that have special instructions for certifying the COD correctly are provided in this lesson.

Lesson plan

Topic

Time allocation: 180 minutes

Expected outcome

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, case scenarios in MCCD workbooks and answer books


Content

Special	Students will learn	Injuries, poisoning and external causes of death										
instructions for certifying specific conditions	the guidelines for documenting specific conditions	categorised as an external of the external cause (the circ important to describe the e accident' is not satisfactorily is both clear and accurate. I method of suicide should b In countries where a coronia magistrate about deaths fro to as unnatural deaths. In so pathologists. The process of	cause c umsta externa y accu n a cas e indic e indic m caus ome ins notific	of deat nce of al cause rate; he se of su tated. I m is in ses in t stances ation v	ample, a fall, road traffic accident, suicid h. When death occurs as a consequence the injury) should always be listed as the in as much detail as possible. For examowever, 'motorcycle rider in collision wit uicide, simply entering 'suicide' is insuffic For example, 'suicidal death by hanging' place, physicians/doctors may need to infinite the country before writing a MCCD. These is, documentation of the relevant MCCD is will differ between countries, and physicia ting that is appropriate to the country in the	of injury or violence, e UCOD. It is very ple, 'road traffic h a pick-up truck' cient; the specific is a clear description. form the coroner/ e are usually referred carried out by forensic ns/doctors need to be						
		Case scenario 9 A 21-year-old female was critically injured in an automobile accident and died from a fractured skull causing cerebral contusion soon after being brought to the hospital. Police records indicated that she was the driver in a two-car collision that occurred at 3 am at the corner of Edward Street and Queens Street. The decedent crossed the center line and struck an oncoming car head on. Autopsy showed injuries and toxicology results indicated a very high blood alcohol level. The deceased MCCD is shown in Figure 10 with an external cause: 'driver injured in collision of two motor cars on a street' properly documented as the UCOD. In addition, if the MCCD includes a manner of death section, the certifying doctor must tick the accident box. Furthermore, if a coronial system is in place in the country, the attending doctor must refer this case to the coroner and should not certify the death.										
		Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1	and 2									
		y meanarrane 2			use of death	Time interval from onset to death						
		1. Report disease or condition directly leading to	Ĉ	а	Cerebral contusion	Minutes						
		death on line a Report chain of events in		b	Due to: Fractured skull	Minutes						
	due to order (if applicable) State the underlying cause	0	С	Due to: Driver injured in collision of two motor cars on a street	Minutes							
		on the lowest used line	Ĉ	d	Due to:							
		2. Other significant condition uting to death (time intervals included in brackets after the	can be	9	Acute alcohol intoxication (hours)							
Figure 10: Case scenario 9: Sequence of events leading to death												

Topic	Expected outcome	Content									
Special	Students will learn	Diabetes mellitus									
instructions	the guidelines	The guidelines related to documenting COD when the patient has diabetes is complex. Diabetes									
for certifying	for documenting	mellitus can be the UCOD, or a risk factor for another UCOD. As a general rule, if the patient dies from a									
specific	specific conditions	complication of diabetes mellitus (e.g. diabetes nephropathy), document diabetes mellitus (type I or									
conditions	(continued)	II) as the UCOD. (Please refer to case scenario 8 for further information.) If a patient dies from stroke or									
(continued)		acute myocardial infarction, document diabetes in Part 2 as a risk factor (other significant/contributo-									
		ry condition). (Please refer to case scenario 7 for further information.)									
		Hypertension									
		It is important to state whether hypertension was essential/primary or secondary to some other									
		disease condition (e.g. chronic pyelonephritis). Essential or primary hypertension is a contributory									
		cause of death in many instances rather than UCOD.									
		Case scenario 10									
		A 40-year-old female was r	A 40-year-old female was rushed to the emergency room with a sudden loss of consciousness. A								
		diagnosis of haemorrhagic	diagnosis of haemorrhagic stroke was made. The patient could not be revived and died 30 minutes								
		after admission to the emergency room. Her medical history revealed that she had recurrent urinary									
		tract infections for the last 10 years. She was also diagnosed with chronic pyelonephritis for the past									
		eight years and hypertension for the last five years.									
		Figure 11 illustrates the sequence of events that led to death specifying the type of hypertension as									
		secondary.									
		Frame A:									
		► Medical data: Part 1	and 2								
				Cau	ise of death	► Time interval from onset to death					
		1. Report disease or condition directly leading to death on line a Report chain of events in	0	a	Cerebral haemorrhage	30 Minutes					
			1 1	b	Due to: Secondary hypertension	5 years					
		due to order (if applicable)	Report disease or condinuities of the indirectly leading to ath on line a port chain of events in e to order (if applicable) ate the underlying cause	С	Due to: Chronic pyelonephritis	8 years					
		State the underlying cause on the lowest used line	ondi- o	d	Due to: Recurrent urinary tract infection	10 years					
			ditions contri								
		uting to death (time intervals included in brackets after the									
		Figure 11: Case scenari	io 10: S	Seaue	ence of events leading to death						
		Infectious and parasitic									
		•			n, it should be noted on the certificate.	If the infectious/					
			-		e unknown'. It is important to include th						
		•			bladder, lungs, appendix, liver, etc.), if k						
		Case scenario 11									
			admitte	d to t	he hospital with a history of fever, disori	ientation and					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			eurological findings were identified on						
			•		s was made. A diagnostic lumber punct	. ,					
				-	reus organism was isolated from CSF and						
		•	•		cus aureus meningitis. Despite intraven	•					
		_		•	and, on day three of admission, blood c						
					he patient died on day four of admission						
		She was diagnosed with ty	pe II dia	abete	for the last 10 years.						

Topic	Expected outcome				Content									
Special	Students will learn	The causative agent, Staph	ylococ	cus au	reus, and the site of infection, meninges	, both are correctly								
instructions	the guidelines	documented in the MCCD s	documented in the MCCD shown in Figure 12.											
for certifying specific	for documenting specific conditions	Frame A: ▶ Medical da	ta: Pa	rt 1 a	nd 2									
conditions	(continued)			▶ Cau	ise of death	Time interval from onset to death								
(continued)		1 Report disease or condition directly leading to	0	а	Septic shock	1 day								
		death on line a		b	Due to: Staphylococcus aureus sepsis	4 days								
		Report chain of events in due to order (if applicable)	Ĉ	С	Due to: Staphylococcus aureus meningitis	6 days								
		State the underlying cause on the lowest used line	0	d	Due to:									
		2 Other significant conditions uting to death (time intervals included in brackets after the	s contr s can b	e	Type II diabetes mellitus (10 years)									
		Figure 12: Case scenario 11: Sequence of events leading to death												
		Neoplasms (tumours)												
	the tumour. This should include: site of the neoplasm; whether benign or malignant (i.e. be of the neoplasm); whether primary or secondary (if known); and histological type (if known site of the primary neoplasm should be mentioned even if the primary neoplasm had been long before death. If the primary site of a secondary neoplasm is known, it must also be start the primary site of a secondary neoplasm is unknown, it should be stated in the MCCD as 'prunknown'.													
	Case scenario 12 A 54 year-old female was admitted to the hospital for palliative care due to secondary adenocated of the liver. The secondary growth occurred one year prior to admission due to the primary adecinoma of the left lung diagnosed three years before that. The patient died one week following admission to the hospital. The sites of the neoplasm (lung and liver), behaviour type (carcinoma meaning malignant), he ical type (adenocarcinoma), and whether primary or secondary (primary lung, secondary liver).													
		correctly documented in th												
		Frame A: Medical data: Part 1 and 2												
				▶ Cai	ise of death	Time interval from onset to death								
		1. Report disease or condition directly leading to	Ĉ	a	Secondary adenocarcinoma of liver	1 year								
		death on line a Report chain of events in		b	Due to: Primary adenocarcinoma of left	3 years								
		due to order (if applicable)	0	С	lung Due to:									
		State the underlying cause on the lowest used line	0	d	Due to:									
		Figure 13: Case scenari	io 12:	Seau	ence of events leading to death									
		Surgical procedures If death is a consequence of a surgical procedure, the procedure name should include the condition for which it was performed (e.g. appendectomy for acute appendicitis). In addition, if the MCCD includes a manner of death section, the certifying doctor must tick the surgery box as well.												

Topic	Expected outcome	Content										
Special instructions for certifying specific conditions (continued)	Students learn the guidelines for documenting specific conditions (continued)	'pregnant, period of gestation 18 weeks' and may be reported in part 2 of the MCCD. If the MCCD includes a pregnancy box, it should be marked to indicate that the woman was pregnant or was within 42 days of delivery when the death occurred.										
		Case scenario 13 A 24-year-old female, pregnant for 4 months, was admitted to the hospital with sudden onset of hemiplegia. Her history revealed that she had suffered from rheumatic fever at the age of 10 years, and a diagnosis of mitral stenosis was made. A MRI scan of the brain also identified a cerebral embolus and, on her second day in the hospital, the patient died. The fact that the deceased was prognant at the time of death is correctly stated in the MCCD shown in Figure 14.										
		The fact that the deceased was pregnant at the time of death is correctly stated in the MCCD shown in Figure 14. Frame A:										
		► Medical data: Part 1	and 2		use of death	▶ Time interval						
	1. Report disease or condition directly leading to death on line a Report chain of events in due to order (if applicable)	Ĉ	а	Cerebral embolism	from onset to death 2 days							
			b	Due to: Mitral stenosis	10 years							
		Ĉ	С	Due to: Rheumatic fever (inactive)	14 years							
		State the underlying cause on the lowest used line	Ĉ	d	Due to:							
		uting to death (time intervals	Other significant conditions contribing to death (time intervals can be cluded in brackets after the condition) Pregnancy (4 months)									
		Figure 14: Case scenari	o 13:	Seque	ence of events leading to death							
		Certifying perinatal dea										
Perinatal period extends from 22 completed weeks of gestation (when the fetal weign grams) to seven completed days after birth. However, this definition may vary in count the chances of viability of the fetus when it is born, and the certifier must adhere to a record accordingly. The WHO recommends using the same MCCD format to certify perinatal control information (e.g. birthweight, gestation, age of mother, etc.). In the perinatal COD, both fetal and maternal factors are considered. The following case stufor certification of a perinatal death.												
		Case scenario 14 A 39-year-old grand multipara with gestational diabetes mellitus was admitted to the hospital with dribbling at 30 weeks gestation. She was diagnosed as having premature rupture of the membranes. Two days later, she delivered a baby girl weighing 1.8 kilograms. On examination, the baby was found to be premature and was short of breath. The diagnosis of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome was made. The baby was put on incubator care. Despite all efforts, the baby died six hours following birth. In this example, the diseases/conditions in the infant are neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, prematurity and low birth weight; and the maternal diseases/conditions affecting the fetus or infant are premature rupture of membranes, pre-term labour, gestational diabetes mellitus and grand multi-parity.										



Topic	Expected outcome	Content
Special	Students learn	Symptoms and signs (e.g. chest pain, backache, abdominal pain, cough, fever) are also considered
instructions	the guidelines	ill-defined conditions. Doctors should not report modes of dying as cause(s) of death on the MCCD.
for certifying	for documenting	This includes: 'cardiac arrest'; 'respiratory arrest'; 'cardiopulmonary arrest'; or 'brain death'. In reporting
specific	specific conditions	a death of an older person, the terms, 'senility', 'old age' or 'natural causes', should also be avoided, and
conditions	(continued)	the attending doctor must enter a specific cause.
(continued)		Where there is insufficient information to be certain of the COD, it is acceptable for the attending doctor to mention 'unknown cause of death'. However, this COD should be reserved for exceptional circumstances where the certifiers could not arrive at a valid COD. In countries where a coronial system is in place, attending physicians/doctors may need to inform the coroner/magistrate about deaths from causes in this category before writing a MCCD.

Evaluation:

1. Individual/Small group work: Students complete the blank MCCD provided in MCCD workbooks according to the case scenarios provided in them.

7. Common errors in cause of death certification

Objective 1: Understand and identify the common errors made by attending doctors in cause of death certification

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 90 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, sample of local MCCD with common errors

Tanis	Francista d outsome	Combons							
Topic Errors in COD certification	Expected outcome Students identify common errors in COD certification	Examples of errors in COD certification are provided in this section, using actual MCCDs from several countries. However, it is recommended that a sample of MCCDs be collected from the local country in order to draw on errors specific to the local context. The following errors are identified as the most commonly occurring errors in COD certification: Documenting multiple causes per line Use of abbreviations Keeping blank lines within the sequence of events Incorrect sequencing of the causes of death Illegibility Ill-defined condition entered as the UCOD Lack of specificity around causes (e.g. no sites for cancers, no organism for infections, etc.) Time intervals left blank							
	Students are able to identify MCCD that contain more than one COD per line	Only one COD should be documented per line in a MCCD according to the guidelines provided by WHO. If the attending doctor documents more than one COD on a single line in the MCCD, it becomes difficult for the attending to dearth and select the UCOD. One exception to this rule is when there are multiple causes in the sequence leading to death and not enough blank lines to record them. When a certifier encounters this situation, multiple causes can be documented per line. However, in this case, the certifier should clearly show the sequence by writing 'due to' between conditions documented on the same line. Figure 18 shows a MCCD that contain four COD on the same line (line 1b). You may also note the erroneous use of abbreviations, incorrect sequencing of causes of death and a blank column where time intervals should be recorded in this MCCD.							
		9. 803-86.4D metric of death 9. 803-86.4D metric any south Significant any south Cause of death 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.							

the MCCD

Topic **Expected outcome** Content **Errors in COD** Students are able Use of abbreviations certification to identify the The use of abbreviations during COD certification should be avoided. Abbreviations could have different (continued) MCCD that contain meanings to different people, especially to mortality coders. The chances of mortality coders misinterpretabbreviations ing the abbreviation and coding the COD to a non-relevant code is high. This would lead to an incorrect UCOD. Figure 19 shows a MCCD where the attending doctors have used abbreviations when documenting causes of death. Note also the incorrect sequencing of events leading to death and the non-recording of the time intervals between the onset of the condition and death. Failure LBAH Ventricular NSTEMI Use of abbreviations Figure 19: Use of abbreviations in documenting causes of death in a MCCD Presence of blank lines within the sequence of events Students are able to identify The certifying doctors must use consecutive lines in Part 1 of the MCCD, starting at line 1(a), when MCCD with blank completing the MCCD. The UCOD must be documented in the lowest used line in Part 1. Certifiers should not lines within the leave blank lines within the sequence/chain of events leading to death. They should understand that the sequence of MCCD is a legal document and that they should not leave any space that could be easily altered or modified in a completed MCCD. events Figure 20 shows a MCCD where the attending doctors have erroneously left a blank line within the sequence of events. Note also the column to record the time interval is erroneously left blank in this MCCD. CAUSE OF DEATH CLIMICAL PRACE OCCUPYING TB MENINGIT Line 1b kept blank Figure 20: MCCD with blank lines within the sequence of events Students are Incorrect sequencing of causes of death able to identify The students should be reminded that mortality statistics are based on the UCOD, which is the disease or injury that initiated the chain/sequence of events that led directly to death. For example, if a person dies incorrect or clinically due to excessive bleeding from a ruptured spleen following a road traffic accident, splenic haemorrhage improbable is the immediate COD while the road traffic accident is the UCOD. Documenting the immediate COD as the UCOD is the most frequently observed error. sequences/ chain of events The attending doctors should be urged to identify the chain/sequence of events leading to death and leading to death document them correctly in the MCCD. It should be emphasised that, when a clinically improbable chain/ documented in sequence of events is documented, it becomes extremely difficult to select the correct UCOD.

Topic Errors in COD certification (continued)

Students are able to identify incorrect or clinically improbable sequences/ chain of events leading to death documented in the MCCD

Expected outcome

Content

Figure 21 shows a MCCD where certifying doctors have recorded a clinically improbable chain/sequence of events leading to death. Note also that the column to record the time interval is erroneously left blank in this MCCD.




Figure 21: MCCD with a clinically improbable chain/sequence of events

Students are able to identify MCCD with illegible handwriting in COD certification

(continued)

Illegibility

An entry in a MCCD is considered illegible if it is not legible at a glance with an adequate light source without the help of another person. The attending doctors must complete the MCCD in a legible manner so that coders and other users can read the information provided in it. However, some doctors have illegible handwriting and this makes it difficult for coders to identify the stated condition correctly.

Figure 22 shows a MCCD with illegible handwriting of a certifying doctor. Note also that the column to record the time interval is erroneously left blank in this MCCD.




Figure 22: MCCD with illegible handwriting of the certifying doctor

Students are able to identify ill-defined conditions entered as UCOD in MCCD

III-defined condition entered as the UCOD


Documenting ill-defined conditions in MCCD is counterproductive to public health and results in the omission of information needed for decision-makers to guide them in designing preventive health programmes.

Ill-defined conditions are usually coded to unusable codes, which belong to four main types:

- 1. Impossible underlying causes, including signs and symptoms
- 2. Intermediate causes
- 3. Modes of dying (i.e. respiratory, cardiac or cardiorespiratory arrest)
- 4. Unspecified causes within a larger mortality category (i.e. unspecified accident, ill-defined site of injury or cancer).

Organ failure (e.g. kidney or liver failure) is not acceptable as an UCOD. The disease or condition causing the organ failure must be entered as the UCOD.

Similarly, the term 'sepsis' should not be used as an UCOD but, instead, as the source of the infection (e.g. pneumococcal pneumonia or infective meningitis) should be identified whenever possible. These are known as ill-defined conditions and should be avoided.



Evaluation:

1. Individual/Small group work: Students identify the errors committed by certifying doctors on a sample of completed MCCDs.

8. Understanding local mortality statistics

Objective 1: Enhance student knowledge of the challenges in improving the cause of death certification in the country

During this module, students should be encouraged to present available information on their own countries. After gaining knowledge on the importance of UCOD, students should have a strong understanding of the importance and uses of mortality statistics. Participating students should be asked to explore the current challenges in improving the COD information in their own countries. This activity should be planned as a small group activity. The facilitators must provide students with guidance on where such information is available (e.g. country annual health bulletins, local hospital websites, national statistical office webpages, etc.).

Lesson plan

Time allocation: 90 minutes

Teaching/Learning method: The questions outlined below could be provided to the students to help guide this small group activity.

- 1. What is the latest year for which COD data is available in your country?
- 2. Use of International Classification of Diseases (ICD) in coding COD in the MCCD: At present, does your country use the ICD to code COD information? If yes, what version of the ICD is used (version ICD-10, ICD-11 any other modified version, mortality coding rules, standard mortality coding rules or ICD SMoL [start-up mortality list] rules)? Who is responsible for coding MCCD in your country? Where are the certificates completed by doctors sent for coding? Who is responsible for producing national mortality statistics in your country? Are your country's COD statistics reported to the WHO?
- 3. List major challenges that attending doctors face during the certification of CODs.
- 4. Who certifies the unnatural deaths, 'dead on arrival', deaths occurring outside hospitals, etc. in your own country?

Teaching/Learning method: Interactive presentation

Resources: PowerPoint presentation, flip chart presentations by student groups, country-specific MCCD formats

Evaluation:

1. Students conduct a brief PowerPoint/flip chart presentation to the class in groups.

References

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific 2007. Core curriculum for certifiers of underlying cause of death. Available at: http://getinthepicture.org/resource/core-curriculum-certifiers-underlying-cause-death (accessed 15 March 2021).

SPC (Pacific Community) 2018. Regional Medical Death Certification Workshop Report. Tonga. Available at: https://sdd.spc.int/events/2018/12/sub-regional-training-medical-certification-causes-death.

World Health Organization 2016. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Volume 2, Instruction manual, Section 4.1.2 and annex 7.1. Geneva, Switzerland.

Annex

International form of medical certificate of cause of death (WHO 2016 version)

Administrative Data (can be fu	rther s	pecified	by cou	ntry)													
Sex	□Fe	male							□ Male	□Unknown							
Date of birth	D	D	M	M	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Date of death	D	D	M	M	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Frame A: ▶ Medical data: Part 1 ar	nd 2																
		▶ Cau	Cause of death Time interval from onset to death											ath			
1. Report disease or condition directly leading to death on	0	а															
line a Report chain of events in due		b	Due to):													
to order (if applicable) State the underlying cause on	0	С	Due to):	-												
the lowest used line	C	d	Due to):													
2. Other significant conditions of to death (time intervals can be brackets after the condition)																	
Frame B:																	
▶ Other medical data									I								
Was surgery performed within	the last	4 wee	ks?		-		☐ Yes		□No	Un	known	1					1
If yes, please specify date of sur							1			D	D	M	M	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
If yes, please specify reason for	surger	y (disea	ase or co	onditio	on)				I								
Was an autopsy requested?					-		☐ Yes		□No	Un	Unknown						
If yes were the findings used in	the cer	rtificatio	on?				☐ Yes		□No	No Unknown							
Manner of death:											-1						
Disease			Assau														
Accident			Legal intervention														
Intentional self harm			War									1					
If external cause or poisoning:							Date of injury			D	D	M	M	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Please describe how external caplease specify poisoning agent)		curred	(ir poisoning														
▶ Place of occurrence of the ex	cternal	cause:															
☐ At home ☐ Residential in	nstituti	on	☐ Sch	ool, o	ther ins	stitutio	n, publi	c admii	nistrative ar	area Sports and athletics area							
☐ Street and highway	□Tra	de and	service	area	□Ine	dustria	and co	nstruct	tion area	Farm							
Other place (please specify):		,								☐ Unknown							
Fetal or infant Death																	
Multiple pregnancy							□ Yes		□No	□Un	known						
Stillborn?							☐ Yes		□No	□Unknown							
If death within 24h specify number of hours survived									Birth weight (in grams)								
Number of completed weeks of	f pregn	ancy								Age of mother (years)							
If death was perinatal, please st fetus and newborn	tate coi	nditions	s of mot	her th	at affe	cted the	e										
For women, was the decease	ed preg	nant?					☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown										
At time of death							☐ Within 42 days before the death										
☐ Between 43 days up to 1 yea	r befor	e death	1				□Un	known									
☐ Did the pregnancy contribute to the death?							☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown										



Pacific Community (SPC)

B. P. D5 – 98848 Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia Telephone: + 687 26 20 00 Email: sdd@spc.int

 $\label{lem:website:http://www.spc.int} Website: http://www.spc.int - https://sdd.spc.int \\ @ SPC, QUT, ABS, the NZ-MOH, FNU, Vital Strategies and WHO (2021).$